|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | No, Yes,  not at all in every way |
| **1. General** | Clarity of writing and reasoning. Does the paper flow well and makes sense? References formatted correctly and cited in the text | 1 2 3 4 56 7 8 9 10 |
| **2. Introduction** | General introduction into the topic. Clear question defined. Hypothesis presented.  ~0.75 pages | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| **3. Methods** | Is choice of approach and tests well explained? Are the right tests used and interpret?  ~1pages | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| **4. Results** | Are the results clearly explained? Figures clear and correct? No discussion of the results, just laying out the finding? This section included assessing assumption ed.  ~3 pages including Discussion | 1 2 3 4 56 7 8 9 10 |
| **5. Discussion** | Explanation of the results. Problems and future aims highlighted. The question mentions in the introduction answered. 1 pages. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| **6. R coding** | Is the code easy to follow and reproducible? Comments included? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 |
| **7. Collaboration** | Collaboration within and between groups. Based on my own observations and feedback through the anonymous feedback form. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 |
| **Total Score & comments:** |  | **of 55** |
|  | | |